State of Alaska FY2006 Governor's Operating Budget

Department of Law
Oil, Gas and Mining
Component Budget Summary

Component: Oil, Gas and Mining

Contribution to Department's Mission

The Oil, Gas and Mining section provides advice and representation to various departments and agencies of state government to assist them in the performance of their functions.

Core Services

The Oil, Gas, and Mining section is responsible for in-house Department of Law services in oil, gas, and mining-related disputes including:

- legal representation to state agencies for the investigation, defense, and prosecution of claims concerning the state's oil and gas royalty and taxation programs;
- · monitoring and protesting tariffs charged for transportation of oil and gas production through pipelines; and
- legal advice to state agencies concerning the development of state oil, gas, and mineral resources.

Department attorneys must frequently rely on economists, engineers, and other experts to address these highly technical oil and gas issues. Moreover, due to the complexity, length, and magnitude of major tax, royalty, tariff, and antitrust cases, outside counsel must, sometimes be used to help in these disputes.

Most of the state's operating revenues are derived from oil and gas corporate income taxes, production taxes, and royalties on Alaska North Slope ("ANS") crude oil. The value of that crude for production tax and royalty purposes is largely determined by the price that ANS commands in its destination markets, less the costs of transporting it to those markets. The transportation costs, in turn, consist primarily of the tariffs charged by the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System ("TAPS") and the producers' tanker costs. Accurately determining ANS destination values, allowable transportation costs, and corporate income ensures the integrity of the state's fiscal system.

The Oil, Gas & Mining component allocation funds legal services related to these and other oil and gas development issues. These services include tariff monitoring and disputes with the owners of TAPS and other oil pipelines in Alaska, and the assessment and collection of unpaid royalties and taxes from oil and gas producers. The contracts with outside counsel and expert consultants on large or complex oil and gas cases are also funded by this allocation, as are the legal services related to lease sales, drafting and interpreting oil and gas statutes and regulations, pipeline right-of-way issues, and oil and gas conservation.

FY2006 Resources Allocated to Achieve Results				
FY2006 Component Budget: \$4,539,200	Personnel: Full time	27		
	Part time	1		
	Total	28		

Key Component Challenges

OIL PIPELINE-RELATED MATTERS

The state has expended substantial resources to monitor compliance with the 1985 TAPS settlement. The section performs this function by reviewing and challenging tariff filings by the owners of the pipelines operating in the state. This vigilance can earn the state millions of dollars annually. As production of oil continues to decline in Alaska, this

	FY2006 Governor	Released December 15th
1/3/05 11:58 AM	Department of Law	Page 2

monitoring is becoming more and more important because transportation costs through TAPS are a major component of total transportation costs to destination markets.

During FY2006 it is highly likely that the Oil, Gas & Mining section will engage in both substantial litigation and negotiation efforts in relation to the Trans Alaska Pipeline settlement methodology (TSM) adopted in 1985 and 1986 for determining the tariff rates on the Trans Alaska Pipeline (TAPS). This methodology expires by its own terms during the time period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011. However, in response to protests by certain shippers, the Regulatory Commission of Alaska (RCA) has rejected the TSM for determining TAPS intrastate tariffs. The matter is now on appeal to the Superior Court. The RCA's rejection of the methodology creates a significant impetus for the affected parties to try to negotiate a mutually acceptable replacement tariff methodology before the TSM expires. The use of the TSM methodology for establishing interstate TAPS tariff rates has not yet been challenged before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC).

The State also will finance an annual audit of Alyeska Pipeline Services Company and the major owner companies to determine if certain operating and capital costs are properly included in the TAPS tariff. Information revealed during the audit forms the basis for future tariff protests. Litigation may result if Alyeska and the owners fail to respond adequately to the state's requests for information.

Another case that has not been resolved involves a dispute among TAPS shippers and the state over the appropriate method to determine quality bank adjustments, which are payments made to compensate for the different qualities of crude oil and refinery return streams that are commingled in TAPS. FERC and RCA administrative law judges recently issued their decisions following a lengthy administrative hearing. Briefing to the full commissions will conclude in February, 2005, after which the commissions are expected to make their final decisions, probably by early FY 2006. However, court appeals from those decisions are very likely before the case is resolved.

The Oil, Gas & Mining section will continue to monitor the tariffs of the so-called North Slope feeder pipelines that transport oil from remote fields to TAPS.

Finally, the section will be involved in on-going regulatory proceedings before the RCA relating to the expansion of the pipeline transportation system in the Cook Inlet area. This expansion is occasioned by the development of several new fields and a gas storage facility.

GAS PIPELINE-RELATED ISSUES

Expediting the arrangements to construct a gasline to transport Alaska North Slope gas to market sits at the top of the FY2006 Department of Law "to do" list. The known gas resource on Alaska's North Slope is huge. The potential gas resource on unexplored lands on the North Slope is much larger. Developing these resources is one of the most promising opportunities to strengthen and support Alaska's economic future.

The key to unlocking the North Slope gas resource is the construction of a pipeline to transport this gas to market. To expedite the construction of the needed gas transportation system, the state legislature enacted the Stranded Gas Development Act in its current form in 2003. The Stranded Gas Development Act provides an opportunity for the negotiation of Fiscal Contracts to tailor the state's fiscal terms (taxes and royalties) pertinent to North Slope gas development to enhance the likelihood of the construction of the needed transportation system.

Three potential project sponsors—(1) TransCanada Corporation, (2) the major North Slope producers (ConocoPhillips, BP, and ExxonMobil) and (3) Enbridge Inc.—have applied for fiscal contracts under the Stranded Gas Development Act. In preparing this budget the Department has assumed the state will successfully negotiate a fiscal contract with at least one of the applicants. Further the Department believes the contract will provide for state ownership of some portion of the project.

During FY2006 we anticipate there will be one or more legal challenges to the constitutionality of the Stranded Gas Development Act and the legality of the contract. In addition, there will be a very large amount of on-going legal work relating to the state's participation in financing the project and to the many commercial and technical matters that the state must deal with in such a huge enterprise including (1) assisting the Departments of Revenue and Natural Resources in crafting proposals for changes to the state's tax and royalty regimes in response to producer requests for greater fiscal certainty; (2) drafting legislative or regulatory language necessary to implement any changes to the state's fiscal regime or other state laws that will improve the viability of the project; and (3) assisting DNR and other agencies with their various permitting responsibilities related to the project.

	FY2006 Governor	Released December 15th
1/3/05 11:58 AM	Department of Law	Page 3

In addition, if the producers file an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, this section will be responsible for representing the state's interests in the project before that agency. Both in-house and outside counsel will be used in this endeavor. The enactment of federal legislation to accelerate development of Alaska's North Slope gas will increase significantly the demands placed on the Oil, Gas and Mining Section.

The section must also provide legal support to the Alaska Natural Gas Development Authority created by citizen's initiative in the November 2002 statewide election. This initiative is primarily focused on a proposed project to market Alaska's North Slope gas as LNG somewhere in the Pacific Rim. The challenge is to ensure that the efforts undertaken to promote this proposed project do not jeopardize the proposed Alaska Highway project.

Assuming the state successfully negotiates a Stranded Gas contract and takes an ownership interest in the project, the department estimates the amount required for FY2005 and FY2006 outside counsel gasline related legal work will total \$9.0 million. A request for this funding will be included in the capital budget.

TAX CASES

This department is pursuing millions of dollars in past-due taxes and interest that are owed by oil and gas producers to the state. In some cases, thousands of documents have to be examined and many potential witnesses must be interviewed or deposed in order to prepare for hearing. These hearings can last for weeks. At this time, one major tax case is in the early stages.

ROYALTY CASES

Royalty disputes regularly arise between the oil and gas producers and the state. Although the department handles most of these disputes in-house, assistance from outside counsel and experts is sometimes necessary. For example, both the state and its lessees have reopened negotiations over the previously settled Alaska North Slope royalty disputes based on changes in marketplace value and transportation costs. The department will require assistance from some of the outside attorneys and experts originally involved in the royalty dispute to resolve these reopeners.

MINING

The challenges include ongoing litigation regarding mineral royalties, mining rights, and rights regarding surface occupancy of mining claims. Department attorneys also assist DNR in complying with federal statutes regulating surface mining, including legal review for the use of reclamation funds, and drafting agreement with operators to insure compliance with state and federal reclamation requirements. The section also advises and assists the Division of Mining in drafting bonding agreements, permits, leases, best interest findings, proposed legislation, and regulations.

Significant Changes in Results to be Delivered in FY2006

The Oil, Gas and Mining section's legal assistance will improve the efficiency of our client agencies' effort to spur new resource development thereby increasing public revenue and other benefits from that development.

The business climate for resource development will improve with fair and efficient resolution of litigation pertaining to:

- (1) regulatory barriers to resource development; and
- (2) developers' tax and royalty obligations to the State of Alaska.

Major Component Accomplishments in 2004

- The section drafted and testified in favor of several legislative proposals to accelerate oil and gas development in the state. These proposals included targeted tax incentives and streamlined permitting.
- The section, with the Departments of Revenue and Natural Resources, collected approximately \$14 million (including interest) in back taxes and royalties owed by oil and gas companies.
- The section continued negotiations with the TAPS carriers on a methodology to replace the TSM for determining TAPS tariff rates.
- The section provided legal support for the implementation of the Stranded Gas Act including drafting a proposed

	FY2006 Governor	Released December 15th
1/3/05 11:58 AM	Department of Law	Page 4_

fiscal contract for the proposed North Slope gas project.

Statutory and Regulatory Authority

AS 44.23.020

Contact Information

Contact: Wilson Condon, Chief, Assistant Attorney General

Phone: (907) 269-5100 **Fax:** (907) 279-8644

E-mail: wilson_condon@law.state.ak.us

	Dil, Gas and Mining nent Financial Sumi		ollars shown in thousands
	FY2004 Actuals	FY2005	FY2006 Governor
New Formula Dragram.		Management Plan	
Non-Formula Program:			
Component Expenditures:			
71000 Personal Services	1,990.4	2,314.5	2,355.0
72000 Travel	64.1	79.2	79.2
73000 Services	2,792.0	2,050.8	2,050.8
74000 Commodities	36.1	54.2	54.2
75000 Capital Outlay	0.0	0.0	0.0
77000 Grants, Benefits	0.0	0.0	0.0
78000 Miscellaneous	0.0	0.0	0.0
Expenditure Totals	4,882.6	4,498.7	4,539.2
Funding Sources:			
1004 General Fund Receipts	3,038.4	3,021.7	3,062.2
1007 Inter-Agency Receipts	367.2	0.0	0.0
1105 Alaska Permanent Fund Corporation Receipts	1,477.0	1,477.0	1,477.0
Funding Totals	4,882.6	4,498.7	4,539.2

Estimated Revenue Collections				
Description	Master Revenue Account	FY2004 Actuals	FY2005 Manageme nt Plan	FY2006 Governor
<u>Unrestricted Revenues</u> None.		0.0	0.0	0.0
Unrestricted Total		0.0	0.0	0.0
Restricted Revenues Interagency Receipts Permanent Fund Earnings Reserve Account	51015 51373	367.2 1,477.0	0.0 1,477.0	0.0 1,477.0
Restricted Total Total Estimated Revenues		1,844.2 1,844.2	1,477.0 1,477.0	1,477.0 1,477.0

Summary of Component Budget Changes From FY2005 Management Plan to FY2006 Governor **General Funds** Federal Funds Other Funds **Total Funds** FY2005 Management Plan 3,021.7 0.0 1,477.0 4,498.7 Adjustments which will continue current level of service: -FY 05 Bargaining Unit Contract 6.3 0.0 0.0 6.3 Terms: GGU -FY06 Cost Increases for Bargaining 34.2 0.0 0.0 34.2 Units and Non-Covered Employees FY2006 Governor 3,062.2 0.0 1,477.0 4,539.2

Oil, Gas and Mining Personal Services Information					
	Authorized Positions		Personal Services	Costs	
	FY2005				
	<u>Management</u>	FY2006			
	<u>Plan</u>	<u>Governor</u>	Annual Salaries	1,702,022	
Full-time	27	27	COLA	6,590	
Part-time	1	1	Premium Pay	0	
Nonpermanent	0	0	Annual Benefits	779,423	
			Less 2.11% Vacancy Factor	(52,535)	
			Lump Sum Premium Pay	Ó	
Totals	28	28	Total Personal Services	2,435,500	

Position Classification Summary						
Job Class Title	Anchorage	Fairbanks	Juneau	Others	Total	
Accounting Clerk II	0	0	1	0	1	
Analyst/Programmer IV	1	0	0	0	1	
Assoc Attorney I	0	0	1	0	1	
Assoc Attorney II	2	0	0	0	2	
Attorney III	0	0	2	0	2	
Attorney IV	6	0	1	0	7	
Attorney V	2	0	2	0	4	
Attorney VI	1	0	0	0	1	
Data Processing Mgr I	0	0	1	0	1	
Law Office Assistant I	2	0	2	0	4	
Paralegal I	2	0	0	0	2	
Paralegal II	0	0	1	0	1	
Procurement Spec III	0	0	1	0	1	
Totals	16	0	12	0	28	