Agency: Commerce, Community and Economic Development

Grants to Named Recipients (AS 37.05.316)

Grant Recipient: Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association

**Project Title:** 

Federal Tax ID: 92-0063954

**Project Type:** Planning and Research

## Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association - Tustumena Lake Smolt Monitoring

#### State Funding Requested: \$25,000

Future Funding May Be Requested

House District: Kenai Areawide (28-30)

#### **Brief Project Description:**

Research project to count the number of sockeye salmon smolt migrating from Tustumena Lake into the Kasilof River.

#### **Funding Plan:**

Total Project Cost:	\$33,000
Funding Already Secured:	(\$0)
FY2015 State Funding Request:	(\$25,000)
Project Deficit:	\$8,000
Funding Details:	
N/A	

#### **Detailed Project Description and Justification:**

The Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association (CIAA) has been operating smolt traps at the Kasilof River for 16 years to count the number of sockeye salmon smolt migrating from Tustumena Lake into the Kasilof River. The smolt enumeration compliments the adult monitoring conducted by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and helps the Department to manage the Kasilof River fishery. The request for funding is to allow CIAA to continue the Tustumena Lake smolt enumeration project.

See attached project description and budget.

#### **Project Timeline:**

FY15 - \$26,000 FY16 - \$7,000

#### Entity Responsible for the Ongoing Operation and Maintenance of this Project:

Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association

For use by Co-chair Staff Only: \$25,0000 \$25,00000 \$25,00000 \$25,000000000000000000000000000000000000
11:57 AM 5/13/2014

#### **Grant Recipient Contact Information:**

Name:	Gary Fandrei
Title:	Executive Director
Address:	40610 Kalifornsky Beach Rd
	Kenai, Alaska 99611
Phone Number:	(907)283-5761
Email:	gfandrei@ciaanet.org

Has this project been through a public review process at the local level and is it a community priority? Yes X No

For use by Co-chair Staff Only:

#### TUSTUMENA LAKE (KASILOF RIVER) SMOLT MONITORING METHODS

#### **Environmental Conditions**

To assess the environmental conditions during the sockeye salmon smolt migration personnel recorded estimated percent cloud cover, water level measured to the nearest tenth of a foot, precipitation measured to the nearest millimeter, and water and air temperatures measured to the nearest degree centigrade. All measurements were recorded at 5:00 PM each day (CIAA Staff, 2013).

#### **Smolt Enumeration**

To conduct the Tustumena Lake sockeye salmon smolt monitoring project, two inclined plane smolt traps, or collection facilities, were placed in the thalweg of the Kasilof River near river mile 6.3 and 7.1 Each collection facility consisted of one inclined plane trap and a double compartment live box supported by a twin pontoon raft (Todd, 1994). Trap 1 was positioned near river mile 7.1, near the Kasilof Bridge, and functioned as the marking site. Trap 2 was positioned near river mile 6.3 and functioned as the recapture site.

Trap 1 was operational on 24 May before any significant smolt migration occurred and was used to capture sockeye smolt in the mark-recapture procedure. Trap 2 was operational on 24 May and remained the site of the total count procedure, recapture site, and smolt characteristics sampling for the duration of the enumeration. Field personnel physically identified each fish by species and released the fish downstream of the trap to continue in their migration. The daily capture count was used with trap efficiencies to determine the total estimated sockeye salmon smolt outmigration.

The mark-recapture technique used in this project involved a stratified sampling design in which approximately 1,000 sockeye smolts were color marked with dye and released from Trap 1 once per week. Personnel conducted the mark-recapture tests Monday night each week. Once the sample was collected, personnel transferred the smolt into a container containing the dye solution Bismark Brown Y (1 gram of dye per 30.4 Liters of water) and monitored the health of the fish for approximately 45 minutes. Upon release, approximately 10–15 dyed smolt were set aside in a smaller container and monitored for an additional hour to record the quality of dye retention as well as vitality. Personnel monitored the number of daily recaptured dyed sockeye smolt at Trap 2 for five days following release of dyed fish at Trap 1. Any sockeye smolt mortality was not

included in the total number released. The number of smolt recaptured in Trap 2 was then used to estimate the proportion of migrating smolts captured (the trap capture efficiency) and the total estimated smolt migration.

Statistical procedures for estimating the population of migrating smolts (N) followed the *simple stratified M-R design* for One-Site sampling experiments described by Carlson et al. (1998) where:

- U = total unmarked population size;
- N = total population size;
- $N_h$  = total population size in stratum h;
- $u_h$  = total number of unmarked smolts captured in stratum h;
- $M_h$  = number of marked smolts released in stratum h;
- $m_h$  = number of marked smolts recaptured in stratum h;
- L = number of strata or periods; and

$$\hat{U} = \sum_{h=1}^{L} \hat{N}_{h} - M_{h} = \sum_{h=1}^{L} \frac{u_{h} (M_{h} + 1)}{m_{h} + 1}$$

The variance of the population estimate v(N) and the 95% confidence interval (*CI*) were estimated as:

$$v(\hat{N}) = \sum_{h=1}^{L} v(\hat{N}_{h}) = \sum_{h=1}^{L} \frac{(M_{h}+1)(n_{h}+1)(M_{h}-m_{h})(n_{h}-m_{h})}{(m_{h}+1)^{2}(m_{h}+2)};$$

And,

$$(CI) = \hat{N} \pm 1.96\sqrt{\nu(\hat{N})} .$$

This method assumes:

- All marked fish released upstream pass the trap before the next release of marked fish;
- The probability that a dyed or unmarked fish enters the trap equals the trap efficiency for all dyed or marked fish;
- Fish are captured or not captured in the trap independently of the fate of other fish;
- All fish entering the trap are counted, and;
- Trap efficiencies do not change significantly during the smolt migration.

The proportions of age-1, age-2, and age-3 smolt were calculated using the data computed from the aforementioned notations and formulas with the following notations and formulas:

 $U_i$  = total unmarked population size for age class = *i*;  $U_h$  = total unmarked population size for stratum = *h*;  $U_{hi}$  = total unmarked population size for age class *i*, in stratum = *h*;  $P_i$  = proportion of unmarked smolt for age class = *i*;  $P_h$  = proportion of unmarked smolt for stratum = *h*;

 $P_{hi}$  = proportion of unmarked smolt for age class = *i*, in stratum = *h*;

 $a_i$  = total number of samples of age class = *i*;

The estimated number of migrating age = i smolt was calculated as:

$$U_i = \sum U_h P_{hi}$$

Proportion of age = i smolt was calculated as:

$$P_i = \frac{1}{U} \sum U_h P_{hi}$$

The variance of the proportion of age = i smolt in stratum = h was calculated as:

$$v(P_{hi}) = \frac{P_{hi}(1-P_{hi})}{a_h - 1}$$

The variance of the number of age = i smolt in stratum = h was calculated as:

$$v(U_{hi}) = U_h^2 v(P_{hi}) + P_{hi}^2 (U_h) - v(U_h)(P_{hi})$$

Therefore, the variance of the estimated number of age = i smolt was calculated as:

$$v(U_i) = \sum v(U_{hi})$$

Confidence intervals (95%) estimates for number of age = i smolt are:

$$U_i \pm 1.96 \sqrt{v(U_i)}$$

## Field Projects, FY14

500 Equipment

	<u>Tustumena Lake</u>						<u>Project</u>	<u>ject Total:</u>		<u>33,136</u>	
	PROJECT:	<u>Tu</u> s	stume	na SMOLT		Draft	19-Jan-13		Total:	\$ 33,136	
	100 SALARIES\LABOR									20,436	
	Special Projects Manager Biologist Project Technician Seasonal, Daily (Total)	( ( (	2.0 4.0 4.0 2	percent) percent) percent) people x	118	\$/day x	60	Days)	1,493 2,339 2,442 14,163		5 days 10 days 10 days
	200 TRAVEL									0	
	300 CONTRACTS\SERVICE	S								2,750	
308	Communications Cell Phone Permits and Fees	(	2	mos x	125	\$/month)			250	1	
316(b) 322	Workers Liability (Workers Lease (Property)	Con	וף) 2	mos x	250	\$/month)			500 500	)	
340	Training Safety	X	-			<i>•</i> ,,,			500	)	
335 326	Vehicles Other Camp Equipment								500 500	)	
	400 SUPPLIES									4,950	
404 408	Groceries Fuels	(	2	people x	22.50	\$/day x	60	days)	2,700 750		
431 427 423	Hand Tools/Small Equip Repair & Maintain - Vehi Repair & Maintain - Othe	cle er Eq	uipme	nt					500 500 500		
	500 Equipment									5,000	
	Used Truck								5,000		Project n Requires
	Tutstumena 100 SALARIES\LABOR	-		<b>33,136</b> 20,436							reguan s
	300 CONTRACTS\SERVICE 400 SUPPLIES	S		2,750 4,950							

5,000

ect methods changed in 2012 uires use of vehicle or additional ualr staff time

Introduced by: Date: Action: Vote: Johnson, Mayor 12/03/13 Adopted 8 Yes, 0 No, 1 Absent

#### KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH RESOLUTION 2013-082

#### A RESOLUTION ASKING THE ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME TO CONTINUE ENUMERATION ESTIMATES OF KASILOF RIVER SOCKEYE SMOLT

- WHEREAS, the Kasilof River sockeye run is important both to the economy of the Kenai Peninsula Borough and to commercial, personal use and sport fisheries; and
- WHEREAS, any disease or fresh water survival problems for sockeye will appear in smolt migration numbers two or three years before the problem can be recognized in adult returns; and
- WHEREAS, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) has, together with Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association, counted Kasilof River sockeye smolt out-migration numbers since 1980; and
- WHEREAS, ADF&G has announced its intention to discontinue counting Kasilof River sockeye smolt in 2014; and
- WHEREAS, the communities of Kasilof, Cohoe and Clam Gulch gathered at Tustumena School on October 2, 2013 and voiced their unanimous support of having ADF&G continue funding the Kasilof River sockeye smolt enumeration estimates; and
- WHEREAS, the Alaska Dept of Fish and Game predicts sockeye annual returns for the Kasilof River and uses smolt enumeration estimates in those calculations; and
- WHEREAS, new sockeye smolt traps were built in 2012 and are available for counting Kasilof River sockeye smolt in 2014;

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE ASSEMBLY OF THE KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH:

- SECTION 1. That the Kenai Peninsula Borough Assembly asks the State of Alaska and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to fund Kasilof River sockeye smolt enumeration estimates again in 2014.
- SECTION 2. That this resolution takes effect immediately upon adoption.

ADOPTED BY THE ASSEMBLY OF THE KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH THIS 3RD DAY DECEMBER, 2013.

Hal Smalley, Assembly Presiden

ATTEST: Johni Blankenship, MMC, Borough Clerk

Bagley, Haggerty, Johnson, McClure, Ogle, Smith, Wolf, Smalley Yes: No: None Pierce Absent:

Kenai Peninsula Borough, Alaska

### **KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH**

**Kenai Peninsula Borough Assembly** 

144 North Binkley Street Soldotna, AK 99669 Phone 907-714-2160 Fax 907-714-2388

Hal Smalley, Assembly President Bill Smith, Vice President

MEMORANDUM

TO: Hal Smalley, Assembly President Kenai Peninsula Borough Assembly Members

Brent Johnson, Assembly Member B for Breat falacon FROM:

DATE: December 3, 2013

**RE:** Resolution 2013-082; Asking the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to Continue Enumeration Estimates of Kasilof River Sockeye Smolt

A State salmon hatchery was built at Crooked Creek, a tributary of Kasilof River, in 1973.<sup>1</sup> Sockeye egg gametes were subsequently collected at Tustumena Lake, incubated in the hatchery and released back into Tustumena Lake as "fry." Sockeye fry typically rear in Tustumena Lake for one year and then migrate down Kasilof River as "smolt" on their way to rearing in the Pacific Ocean.

The State of Alaska established a Kasilof River sockeye salmon smolt trap study in 1980 to establish estimates on fresh water survival rates.<sup>2</sup> Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association (CIAA) took over management of Crooked Creek Hatchery in 1993, and also took over the smolt trap estimates. In 2004 the Ninth Circuit Court closed the hatchery operation based on potential conflicts with goals of the 1980 Andy Simon Wilderness Area, which encompasses most of Tustumena Lake.

The State of Alaska and Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association recognized a scientific value in the sockeye smolt estimates and have worked together to continue them through 2013. In recent years ADF&G has provided the money for the smolt counts while CIAA has hired the experts who have done the actual work. The same person, a KPB resident, has led the work for many years.

<sup>1</sup> McNair, Marianne. Alaska Salmon Enhancement Program, 2001 Annual Report, by Alaska Dept of Fish & Game, March 2002, Table 3, page 6.

<sup>2</sup> Flagg, Loren; Owecke, Michael; and Waite, David. Sockeye Salmon Smolt Studies, Kasilof River, Alaska, 1982. For Fred Division, ADF&G, page 24.

Page -2-MEMORANDUM Resolution 2013-0\_\_\_

• •

Financial considerations have led the ADF&G to announce their plans to discontinue the project. Many fishermen believe the State should be expanding smolt counts to include chinook salmon, not discontinuing sockeye smolt counts. Fishermen and processors use the annual sockeye predictions to help plan for upcoming seasons. In addition, freshwater survival rates are important for science purposes.

This resolution asks the State of Alaska and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to continue funding the sockeye smolt count.

Your consideration is appreciated.



# COOK INLET

40510 KALIFORNSKY BEACH ROAD KENAI, AK 99611 (907) 283-5761 FAX: (907) 283-9433 email: info@ciaanet.org http://www.ciaanet.org

Kenai Peninsula Borough Assembly Clerks Office 144 North Binkley Soldotna, Alaska 99669

February 10, 2014

Re: Support for State Funding Priority 2014: Elodea Eradication Project

Dear Assembly Members,

Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association (CIAA) is a private, non-profit corporation organized under the laws of the State of Alaska, engaged in salmon enhancement work throughout the Cook Inlet Region. The purpose of this letter is to offer our support for the Kenai Peninsula Borough's State Funding Priority 2014 Capital Project: Elodea Eradication Project.

Since 1976, CIAA has worked to protect and enhance wild salmon stocks for the common property fishery in the Cook Inlet Region. Our work includes the installation and adjustments of a water flow control structure at the outlet of Daniels Lake to help migrating salmon return to the lake. We clearly understand the threat invasive Elodea poses to our wild salmon stocks if left unchecked in Alaska lakes by altering the habitat.

We recognize that the discovery of Elodea in Beck, Stormy, and Daniels lakes requires immediate action to have a good chance of eradicating the infestations—using pesticides, in this case, is the best option to meet this goal of rapid response. We support the \$700,000 funding request that allow the development of a comprehensive Elodea eradication program, including the use of the pesticide Floridone to treat this infestation before it spreads and becomes a much larger issue.

We understand that \$700,000 may seem like a large amount, but one only needs to look at the invasion history of other species, such as kudzu or zebra mussels, to realize this amount is a drop in the bucket compared to what it costs when an invasive species takes over—in economic losses, and in costs associated with controlling (not eradicating) the invasive plant or animal. It has been shown time and again that controlling an invasive plant or animal once it takes hold can cost millions or even hundreds of millions of dollars.

Another example closer to home is the multi-year effort by ADF&G to remove invasive northern pike from the Soldotna Creek drainage, where native fish populations have already been decimated by the pike in most of the drainage lakes. It is estimated that around \$750,000 will be spent on this effort, and this does not take into account the recreational and economic losses resulting from the loss of native fish, or the funding that will be needed to restock the lakes.

It is important that the funding is in place to eradicate Elodea in Daniels, Stormy, and Beck lakes to stop it from spreading to other lakes, and seriously threatening our native species, habitat, and economies. Thank you for this opportunity to provide our support.

Sincerely,

Gary Fandrei

Gary Fandrei Executive Director

Cc: Representative Mike Chenault Representative Kurt Olson Representative Paul Seaton Senator Peter Micciche Senator Gary Stevens Senator Cathy Giessel